

Scientific background

This document holds a summary of the scientific background supporting this firefighter hydration project.

Why does the body need water?

Water is the human body's main component (at least 60%) and is vital for organ function and thermoregulation. The regulation of fluid balance is closely linked to the regulation of electrolyte balance. In the kidneys, the excretion of water and electrolytes is regulated by hormones, particularly the antidiuretic hormone, and aldosterone.¹ When there is excess water in the body, diluted urine is excreted.² If the concentration of electrolytes in body fluids becomes too high, the thirst center in the brain is stimulated and this leads to a feeling of thirst and reduced excretion of water by the kidneys.¹ Water loss occurs through urination, defecation, respiration, and sweating. Losses by sweating are generally small, but they can increase to several liters per day in warm environments, especially when combined with manual labor or exercise.³

How much water does a wildland firefighter (WLF) need?

Daily water requirement varies from person to person.⁴ Despite the advanced technological capabilities that science employs today, a universal daily adequate water intake has not been defined precisely for any demographic group⁴, let alone for WLFs. A limited number of water turnover studies have been performed based on real fire suppression activities over time using water enriched with deuterium. The studies conducted between 1997 and 2015 show a mean water turnover of 7.0 ± 1.7 L/d⁵, 6.7 ± 1.4 L/d⁶, and 6.6 ± 2.1 L/d⁷, 9.5 ± 1.7 L/d⁸, across a total of 74 participants.

What is the difference between clinical dehydration or not drinking a suboptimal amount of fluid, and why is this important for this research proposal?

There are multiple ways to determine hydration status. To detect severe dehydration, blood plasma osmolality may provide the most valid and precise measurement of body hydration status⁹, as the body regulates blood plasma levels within a tight range (285-296 mOsm/kg).¹⁰ This method is especially useful to detect acute overhydration or severe clinical dehydration.¹¹ As a result, plasma osmolality is in most cases not a useful indicator of fluid intake, while urinary markers are much more prone to detect a low fluid intake, as a low 24-hour fluid intake has been inversely associated with a high urine concentration.¹² The National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement¹³ provides multiple definitions for hydration, and from a practical perspective euhydration, dehydration, and hypohydration are the most important for this proposal. Euhydration suggests that the body is in an optimal fluid state, whereas dehydration is the active process of losing body water, for example, during activity, and finally, hypohydration indicates a state in which the fluid that is lost is not effectively replaced¹³, at the same time a high urine concentration can occur without having a body water deficit, which is determined as "underhydration", when consuming to low amount of fluids.²

How to detect a low fluid intake using urine concentration?

Elevated urine concentration can be caused by inadequate fluid intake, and therefore the measurement of urine osmolality or urine specific gravity¹³ can be a useful marker.^{2,14} Different cut-off values for urine concentration markers, such as osmolality and urine specific gravity (USG), have been proposed, indicating cut-offs to determine hydration.^{10,15} Although the type of sample collected (24-hour vs. morning or afternoon spot urine sample)^{16–19} may influence concentration, most studies have been using cut-off values in a range of 700-800 mOsm/kg^{14,20–23} or the USG equivalent^{10,14,22,24} to indicate poor hydration status. While osmolality allows for determining concentration more precisely (as it can be measured on a wider scale), USG correlates very well with urine osmolality (U_{osm}) with an $r \geq 0.995$.^{10,25}

Do WLFs consume enough fluid?

Individualized recommendations for fluid needs must be based upon many factors, including anthropometrics, type of exercise performed, duration and intensity of the exercise, total body weight change (including sweat rate and urine losses), environmental factors (including fire heat exposure), heat acclimation status, rehydration opportunities, type of fluid consumed, and pre-exercise hydration status.²⁶ General recommendations are available for fluid intake on the job. These recommendations normally range between volumes of 500-1000 mL per hour (16 to 32 fl. oz.).²⁷ Scientific evaluations have reported lower intakes than recommended starting at 250-300 mL^{28,29}, up to 500-640 mL^{30,31}, and high intakes up to 2141±838 mL over a two-hour period (~1070 mL per hour) have been reported in hot conditions.²⁹ In the end, hydration status is based not only on what is consumed during a work shift, but also during the rest of the 24-hour cycle. In WLF research, urine concentration is often reported as USG, providing good insight into the general hydration status of WLFs. In most studies reporting USG, a substantial part (40-60%) of WLFs at baseline have USG above a cut-off value ≥ 1.020 . This can also be confirmed by a report by Rhan et al. (2016), our Wildfire Conservancy project partner, reporting that at least half of the n=95 CAL FIRE WLFs they measured had a USG value ≥ 1.020 .³² This suggests that roughly half of WLFs could optimize their daily fluid intake.

Why do WLFs report urine with a high concentration?

The short answer is that WLFs drink less than they need, resulting in a high urine concentration.² The extended answer is that body fluid balance is complex and dynamic, including a matrix of intracellular fluid, interstitial fluid, and blood plasma that allows for fluid exchange between compartments.³³ The body can gain water (fluid and food intake) and lose water (urine, sweat, feces, and respiratory vapor).³³ Physiological factors influencing body fluid balance are fluid intake vs. sweat rate and urine loss, and sodium intake vs. sweat sodium loss, all influenced by:

- 1) Workload (which is a combination of work (activity) duration, intensity, and the balance between activity and rest) will result in the metabolic generation of heat in WLFs.³⁴ During a 12-14 hour work day WLFs hike over rough terrain, construct fire lines, lay hose, operate chainsaws, and clear brush during wildfire management and training activities, often performed in hot environments, and with a high caloric

expenditure ranging from 3000-6260 kcal per day.³⁴ WLFs can self-regulate their fluid consumption behavior and work rate to leave the fireground euhydrated³⁵, but increasing the number of rest breaks and fluid intake (including sufficient electrolytes) have been suggested as important modifiable variables.^{34,36}

2) Fitness level suggested to match a maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}) of 45 ml/kg³⁷ are often tested using a pack hike test³⁸, but WLFs may not always meet that requirement. A substantially lower aerobic capacity has been measured in both certified and uncertified WLFs.³⁹ Having a good basic fitness level is important, as we have seen that fitness level is substantially influenced by environmental heat stress, resulting in significantly higher core temperatures in slower and lesser-fit mountain hikers when compared to moderated conditions.⁴⁰

3) Work settings in hot environments often bring inherent hazards, so there are occupational standards (such as work-to-rest ratios and thermal exposure limits) designed to reduce strain and the likelihood of thermal injury and impacts from heat strain.⁴¹ Heat gain during firefighting is the product of energy expenditure (metabolic heat) and radiative heat exposure from fire (and solar radiation), and it can override the efficient sweat rate capacity of the body, particularly in dry environments, such as in the U.S. southwest. As a result, WLF bodies can easily heat up while suppressing fires, with raised core and skin temperatures, increased cardiovascular strain, and negatively influenced cerebral function.⁴² These uncomfortable conditions lead to increased breathing rate, altered muscle metabolism, and inadequate oxygen delivery to working muscles, factors that facilitate fatigue and prevent (tactical) athletes from maintaining elevated work rates during prolonged activities.⁴³ Normally, under hot conditions causing heat stress, work capacity is lowered to avoid hyperthermia⁴⁴, and if work rate is not altered, heat related illness can occur.^{45,46} Although heat illness related symptoms, such as dizziness, headache, nausea, and muscle cramps, have been reported in 39–57% of structural firefighters^{47,48}, they have not been well documented in WLFs.⁴⁶ A total of 30-50% of all firefighters report symptoms related to heat stress^{47,48}, resulting in actual thermal stress injuries that result in temporary medical leave of absence being around 3-4%.⁴⁹ Self-reported symptoms, scored over a period of 12-months by a sample including WLFs, related to heat stress (can be tied to hydration status) include: dizziness (56.6%), headache (48.8%), nausea (47.5%), muscle cramps (45.7%), and confusion (33.2%).⁴⁷ It is worth noting that in a sample of n=674 wildland and structural firefighters, at least 25% reported experiencing these symptoms more than 10 times a year.⁴⁸ One out of two female structural firefighters from the U.S. reported having a heat related illness.⁴⁷

When fluid intake over a 24-hour period does not match fluid loss (driven by the elements mentioned above), the body will retain the available fluid, resulting in higher urine concentration also known as underhydration.² Depending on the frequency with which a WLF does not meet their fluid needs, they can also develop intermittent dehydration (in which WLFs likely do not drink enough during their shift to compensate for fluid loss and recover well-hydrated baseline after their work shift), or it may lead to

chronic hypohydration in which fluid loss is not effectively compensated at any time during the day.⁵⁰

What happens when WLFs are chronically hypohydrated?

Fluid balance is regulated through the effects of antidiuretic hormones.⁵¹ Loss of body water resulting in dehydration raises plasma osmolality levels, which in turn stimulates the release of vasopressin, reducing the amount of water lost through the kidneys.⁵² Despite this feedback loop, sweating induced by exercise may easily override the body's fluid-saving mechanisms. If fluids are not properly replaced, impaired exercise capacity may limit performance and exertion may ensue.^{53–55} Conversely, the atrial natriuretic peptide is secreted in response to increased atrial blood volume, and it promotes sodium, hence water excretion.⁵⁶

Dehydration has many negative side effects, such as the exacerbation of fatigue development, cramping, heat exhaustion, and heat stroke, as well as decreased cognitive function, and, in extreme cases, loss of consciousness and death.^{13,52,55,57–59} Even levels of hypohydration as low as 1% of total body weight loss⁵⁵ may cause a myriad of problems in athletes across all exercise types.^{52,57–59} The hindrance of performance when (tactical) athletes are improperly hydrated or insufficiently replace fluid losses is widely accepted, especially when the net body weight loss due to exercise-related sweating exceeds more than 2% of body mass.^{25,55} Overall, dehydration or hypohydration will impair exercise performance and capacity⁵⁴, as well as the state of mood that greatly impacts the process and quality of everyday decision-making.⁶⁰ As such, negative mood states may lead to poor judgment and irrational decisions, increasing risky behaviors and susceptibility to adverse events.⁶⁰ Sustained heat exposure and dehydration were positively associated with negative mood disturbances and poor decision-making in military personnel.⁶¹ Given the similarities in occupations (i.e., physical performance demands and often extreme external environments), firefighters, too, are likely at greater risk. Effective decision-making is essential in a high-stress environment⁶², such as during a fire emergency, to ensure the success and safety of the firefighting operation. Yet, poorly hydrated firefighters exhibiting negative mood states may make poor safety-related decisions, such as improper fastening of equipment, not securing a fire scene, or disrupted communication with firefighter team members.⁶³ These failures could increase the time needed to effectively control fire scenes, decrease team cohesion and overall productivity, and increase firefighter and civilian injury and death rates.⁶³ In addition, optimal hydration may have positive effects on general health, as optimal water intake may slow down the aging process⁶⁴, which is important as the biological age of firefighters may often exceed their actual age.⁶⁵ WLFs are advised to consume enough fluid to stay well-hydrated to maintain optimal performance, mood, and cognitive states.^{63,66}

Can education improve hydration?

There is limited and inconsistent evidence that hydration education can improve^{67,68} or not improve^{53,69} hydration markers at the short term in children and adolescent athletes. Despite that the available evidence for effective education is limited¹³, education should address a) the consequences of dehydration or fluid overload, b) hydration-monitoring

strategies, c) how to use reliable and valid measures of pre- and post-activity body mass measurements to assess fluid status, d) how to schedule activities and modify work-break ratio to optimize hydration and improve safety, and e) environmental influences on fluid requirements.¹³

What hydration self-assessment education is currently available?

Some WLFs receive training in health and performance issues. Currently, in Arizona, this type of training is aimed at module leaders who are expected to pass this knowledge on to their crews, but the relevant course is organized on a voluntary basis. The Forest Training Officer, Jonathan McLaughlin, informed us that the training is not done in a formal course and can occasionally be overlooked. Additionally, handbooks from fire service organizations are available. For example, the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service Health and Safety Code Handbook briefly provides fluid recommendations and the need for fluid intake monitoring.⁵⁶ The Incident Response Pocket Guide⁷⁰, something that WLFs are required to carry on their person at all times, mentions that WLFs need to bring fluid (additional resources) but it offers no further recommendations. This is in contrast with the Australian NSW Rural Fire Service Firefighters' Pocket book, as it includes a Uc chart with some simple recommendations about fluid intake aligned with Uc.⁵⁷ Although it is not uncommon to have a Uc chart at the station and/or in its restroom, it appears (based on published research⁷¹, and onsite conversations) that clear instructions or training about how to monitor hydration status are not presented in a standardized way or are not available at all.

Is the current implementation of hydration self-assessment strategies effective?

The fire service is aware of the risks that come with the job. Therefore, there is a tendency to implement risk management strategies, for example, to reduce injuries.⁷¹ Awareness, knowledge, and the use of control strategies were evaluated in what appears to be the most detailed study on the topic. It included 450 structural firefighters that completed three cross-sectional surveys during a 2-year period.⁷¹ During this study, a Uc chart was used that had a header stating, "Are You Hydrated? Urine Hydration Chart." It used fixed scales for being hydrated (the target, reflected in a green box), being dehydrated (in a yellow box), or being severely dehydrated (in a red box) that were lined up with eight urine colors, from very light yellow to brown. Over time, slightly less than half of the firefighters said their hydration status had improved⁷¹, but no independent hydration markers were collected to confirm this. Although no standardized instructions were given on how to assess their Uc, which is important to optimize the assessment accuracy^{72,73}, the paper shows the potential of using simple charts for self-assessment in the fire service.

The current situation is that WLFs are informed about the importance of self-assessment but lack well documented written instruction on how to perform these assessments. Hydration self-assessment is supported by fire service leadership.^{74,75} In the U.S., researchers employed by the Forest Service have published applied articles on hydration advice^{27,76}, and their web pages refer to WLFs fluid needs⁷⁷, but despite the emphasis that education is important, specific strategies for implementation of this education, coaching, and counseling to optimize measurements are not described in

detail in the consensus literature.^{9,13} It is known that behavior change moves through different stages.⁷⁸ Simplified, behavior change moves from considering if the assessment of hydration status is needed, to the willingness to self-assess hydration status, to adopting a way to assess hydration status. To ensure effective behavior change, an individual needs to feel that self-assessment is under their control.^{79,80} Self-management can be an effective integral strategy to manage long term health conditions.^{81,82} At the same time, best practice strategies are not always available⁸¹, and although there is evidence that specific hydration markers could lead to an accurate self-assessment of hydration status, this evidence has been based largely on investigator scores and not on actual self-assessment.^{83,84} The publications that report self-assessment show that subjects are less accurate than trained investigators.^{85,86} This indicates the importance of education and training, as well as standardization of the assessment.⁷² Aside from these elements, the actual training on an individual level should include goal setting (defining the individual's objectives), successful monitoring (including how to perform the self-assessment), short- and long-term motivation strategies, problem-solving (developing adaptive strategies), and contingency management (reinforcement of this new behavior).⁸⁷ This training frame should be integrated into future WLF hydration self-assessment implementation strategies.

Selecting hydration self-assessment methods to determine a high urine concentration

There is widespread consensus regarding treatment, but not the diagnosis of impaired hydration status.⁸⁸ As we have explained, different phases of dehydration, hypohydration and underhydration can be determined using clinical biomarkers in the blood (i.e., plasma osmolality) and in urine (i.e., osmolality and specific gravity), but these measurements cannot be used for self-evaluation.⁸⁸ The requirements for self-assessments are that measurements are simple to perform, demand little time, are easy to interpret, and preferably having high validity, resulting in an accurate selection of well hydrated vs. not well hydrated (based on as accurate as possible classification of the urine sample with a low vs. high urine concentration). Armstrong et al. (2016), including Kavouras, one of our research team members, explained that diagnosis of dehydration in clinical practice needs to be optimized. Therefore, they rated the scientific and clinical value of hydration assessment techniques; rated the time, monetary cost, and technical expertise required; and incorporated the conclusions of previously published review articles. Based on this, they concluded (see Appendix 4) that the following items would be suitable for patient self-evaluation: 1) thirst sensation, 2) blood-pressure change, 3) heart rate change, 4) urine color, and 5) body mass. Other markers, such as bioelectrical impedance analysis and saliva osmolality testing, were not deemed suitable for self-assessment.⁸⁸

The available validation studies in the hydration self-assessment literature focus on determining the accuracy of measurements toward: 1) Assessing acute dehydration (i.e., sweat loss during exercise), which includes bodyweight change pre-post exercise^{9,13}, and markers of cardiovascular function, such as blood pressure and heart rate.⁸⁹ Although the use of cardiovascular markers has been integrated in technology, such as smartwatches, the actual accuracy to detect mild dehydration is limited and not superior to the markers listed next.⁸⁹ And 2) determining chronic underhydration, in which normally

no substantial fluid volume is lost but in which the body is working hard to keep the available body fluid in the system, resulting in the production of concentrated urine.² Measurements include bodyweight change from baseline, thirst, Uc⁹⁰, and other markers directly related to fluid intakes, such as urine volume¹², urine void frequency⁸³, and urine void duration.⁸⁵ There are examples in the literature of combined methods, such as the WUT model, in which “W” stands for bodyweight, “U” for Uc, and “T” for thirst sensation, but validation of this model has been limited to only one study.⁹¹ It has been suggested that single markers do not provide enough evidence to assess dehydration⁹⁰; however, results from the combination of any two of these markers can mean dehydration is likely, and a combination of all three means an assessment of dehydration can be very likely.^{84,17} Pilot data from our lab confirms this suggestion.⁹² Therefore, firefighters could potentially benefit from a combination of methods that are currently used as single markers. Uc offers the highest self-reported accuracy for classifying samples as being high or low in urine concentration.⁹³ Its use in combination with other methods should allow for the most efficient detection of a suboptimal hydration status.¹³ Investigators showed a 97% diagnostic ability when combining Uc assessment and urine void frequency during a 24-hour period⁸³, which is promising but confirmation is needed that WLFs can meet this accuracy level when they self-assess their hydration status. As untrained individuals report less accurately than trained investigators³⁵, multiple markers directly associated with urine concentration should be examined in combination to determine the best methods to use for self-assessment of hydration status.

References

1. Roncal-Jimenez C, Lanaspa MA, Jensen T, Sanchez-Lozada LG, Johnson RJ. Mechanisms by Which Dehydration May Lead to Chronic Kidney Disease. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2015;66 Suppl 3:10-13. doi:10.1159/000381239
2. Kavouras SA. Hydration, dehydration, underhydration, optimal hydration: Are we barking up the wrong tree? *Eur J Nutr.* 2019;58(2):471-473. doi:10.1007/s00394-018-01889-z
3. Nordic Council of Ministers. Nordic Nutrition Recommendations. Nordic Nutrition Recommendations. Published online 2012. Accessed January 31, 2022. <https://www.norden.org/en/publication/nordic-nutrition-recommendations-2012>
4. Armstrong LE, Johnson EC, McKenzie AL, Muñoz CX. An empirical method to determine inadequacy of dietary water. *Nutrition.* 2016;32(1):79-82. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2015.07.013
5. Ruby BC, Shriver TC, Zderic TW, Sharkey BJ, Burks C, Tysk S. Total energy expenditure during arduous wildfire suppression. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2002;34(6):1048-1054. doi:10.1097/00005768-200206000-00023
6. Ruby BC, Schoeller DA, Sharkey BJ, Burks C, Tysk S. Water turnover and changes in body composition during arduous wildfire suppression. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2003;35(10):1760-1765. doi:10.1249/01.MSS.0000089348.39312.4D
7. Montain SJ, Baker-fulco CJ, Niro PJ, Reinert AR, Cuddy JS, Ruby BC. Efficacy of eat-on-move ration for sustaining physical activity, reaction time, and mood. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008;40(11):1970-1976. doi:10.1249/MSS.0B013E31817F4D58
8. Cuddy JS, Sol JA, Hailes WS, Ruby BC. Work Patterns Dictate Energy Demands and Thermal Strain During Wildland Firefighting. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2015;26(2):221-226. doi:10.1016/j.wem.2014.12.010
9. Sawka MN, Burke LM, Eichner ER, Maughan RJ, Montain SJ, Stachenfeld NS. Exercise and fluid replacement. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007;39(2):377-390. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e31802ca597
10. Armstrong LE, Pumerantz AC, Fiala KA, et al. Human hydration indices: Acute and longitudinal reference values. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2010;20(2):145-153. Accessed January 4, 2018. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20479488>
11. Hew-Butler TD, Eskin C, Bickham J, Rusnak M, Vandermeulen M. Dehydration is how you define it: Comparison of 318 blood and urine athlete spot checks. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2018;4(1):297. doi:10.1136/bmjsem-2017-000297
12. Perrier ET, Buendia-Jimenez I, Vecchio M, Armstrong LE, Tack I, Klein A. Twenty-four-hour urine osmolality as a physiological index of adequate water intake. *Dis Markers.* 2015;11(2864):1-8. doi:10.1155/2015/231063
13. McDermott BP, Anderson SA, Armstrong LE, et al. National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement: Fluid replacement for the physically active. *J Athl Train.* 2017;52(9):877-895. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-52.9.02
14. Perrier ET, Armstrong LE, Bottin JH, et al. Hydration for health hypothesis: A narrative review of supporting evidence. *Eur J Nutr.* 2021;60(3):1167-1180. doi:10.1007/s00394-020-02296-z
15. Armstrong LE, Maresh CM, Castellani JW, et al. Urinary indices of hydration status. *Int J Sport Nutr.* 1994;4(3):265-279. doi:10.1123/ijns.4.3.265

16. Bottin JH, Lemetais G, Poupin M, Jimenez L, Perrier ET. Equivalence of afternoon spot and 24-h urinary hydration biomarkers in free-living healthy adults. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2016;70(8):904-907. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2015.217
17. Kenefick RW, Cheuvront SN. Hydration for recreational sport and physical activity. *Nutr Rev.* 2012;70(SUPPL/2):S137-S142. doi:10.1111/j.1753-4887.2012.00523.x
18. Suh HG, Summers LDG, Seal AD, et al. Afternoon urine osmolality is equivalent to 24 h for hydration assessment in healthy children. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2020;74(6):884-890. doi:10.1038/s41430-019-0519-5
19. Cheuvront SN, Kenefick RW, Zambraski EJ. Spot Urine concentrations should not be used for hydration assessment: A methodology review. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* 2015;25(3):293-297. doi:10.1123/ijsnem.2014-0138
20. Manz F, Wentz A. 24-h hydration status: parameters, epidemiology and recommendations. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2003;57 Suppl 2(SUPPL.2). doi:10.1038/SJ.EJCN.1601896
21. Chang T, Ravi N, Plegue MA, Sonnevile KR, Davis MM. Inadequate hydration, BMI, and obesity among US adults: NHANES 2009-2012. *Ann Fam Med.* 2016;14(4):320-324. doi:10.1370/afm.1951
22. Adams WM, Hevel DJ, Maher JP, McGuirt JT. Racial and sex differences in 24 hour urinary hydration markers among male and female emerging adults: A pilot study. *Nutrients.* 2020;12(4):1068. doi:10.3390/nu12041068
23. Kenney EL, Long MW, Cradock AL, Gortmaker SL. Prevalence of inadequate hydration among US children and disparities by gender and Race/Ethnicity: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2009–2012. *Am J Public Health.* 2015;105(8):e113-e118. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2015.302572
24. Heen E, Yassin AA, Madar AA, Romøren M. Estimates of fluid intake, urine output and hydration-levels in women from Somaliland: a cross-sectional study. *J Nutr Sci.* 2021;10(e66):1-10. doi:10.1017/jns.2021.54
25. Logan-Sprenger HM, Heigenhauser GJF, Killian KJ, Spriet LL. Effects of dehydration during cycling on skeletal muscle metabolism in females. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2012;44(10):1949-1957. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31825abc7c
26. Armstrong LE. Assessing hydration status: The elusive gold standard. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2007;26(5 Suppl):575S-584S. doi:10.1080/07315724.2007.10719661
27. Domitrovich J, Sharkey B. Hydration strategies for firefighters. Published online 2008:1-6.
28. Cuddy JS, Ham JA, Harger SG, Slivka DR, Ruby BC. Effects of an electrolyte additive on hydration and drinking behavior during wildfire suppression. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2008;19(3):172-180. doi:10.1580/07-WEME-OR-114.1
29. Larsen B, Snow R, Vincent G, Tran J, Wolkow A, Aisbett B. Multiple days of heat exposure on firefighters' work performance and physiology. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(9):1-16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136413
30. Raines J, Snow R, Petersen A, Harvey J, Nichols D, Aisbett B. The effect of prescribed fluid consumption on physiology and work behavior of wildfire fighters. *Appl Ergon.* 2013;44(3):404-413. Accessed May 18, 2020. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23149116/>

31. Raines J, Snow R, Nichols D, Aisbett B. Fluid intake, hydration, work physiology of wildfire fighters working in the heat over consecutive days. *Ann Occup Hyg*. 2015;59(5):554-565. doi:10.1093/ANNHYG/MEU113
32. Rahn M, Broyles G, McHale T, et al. Wildland firefighter exposure study: evaluating core temperature, heart rate & hydration. Wildfire research report 5. Published 2016. Accessed March 16, 2023. <https://wildfireconservancy.org/publications>
33. Armstrong LE. Rehydration during Endurance Exercise: Challenges, Research, Options, Methods. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(3):1-22. doi:10.3390/NU13030887
34. Sol JA, West MR, Domitrovich JW, Ruby BC. Evaluation of Environmental Conditions on Self-Selected Work and Heat Stress in Wildland Firefighting. *Wilderness Environ Med*. 2021;32(2):149-159. doi:10.1016/J.WEM.2021.02.004
35. Raines J, Snow R, Petersen A, Harvey J, Nichols D, Aisbett B. Pre-shift fluid intake: effect on physiology, work and drinking during emergency wildfire fighting. *Appl Ergon*. 2012;43(3):532-540. doi:10.1016/J.APERGO.2011.08.007
36. Larsen B, Snow R, Aisbett B. Effect of heat on firefighters' work performance and physiology. *J Therm Biol*. 2015;53:1-8. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2015.07.008
37. Sharkey B, Rothwell T. Validation And Field Evaluation Of A Work Capacity Test For Wildland Firefighters 467. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1996;28(Supplement):79. doi:10.1097/00005768-199605001-00467
38. Petersen A, Payne W, Phillips M, Netto K, Nichols D, Aisbett B. Validity and relevance of the pack hike wildland firefighter work capacity test: A review. *Ergonomics*. 2010;53(10):1276-1285. doi:10.1080/00140139.2010.513451
39. Hammer RL, Heath EM. Comparison of aerobic capacity in annually certified and uncertified volunteer firefighters. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2013;27(5):1435-1440. doi:10.1519/JSC.0B013E318265AAF7
40. Linsell JD, Pelham EC, Hondula DM, Wardenaar FC. Hiking time trial performance in the heat with real-time observation of heat strain, hydration status and fluid intake behavior. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(11):4086. doi:10.3390/ijerph17114086
41. Department of the Army. TB MED 507: Heat stress control and heat casualty management. Army Technical Bulletin Medical. Published online 2022.
42. Périard JD, De Pauw K, Zanow F, Racinais S. Cerebrocortical activity during self-paced exercise in temperate, hot and hypoxic conditions. *Acta Physiologica*. 2018;222(1). doi:10.1111/apha.12916
43. Nybo L, Rasmussen P, Sawka MN. Performance in the heat-physiological factors of importance for hyperthermia-induced fatigue. *Compr Physiol*. 2014;4(2):657-689.
44. Schleh MW, Ruby BC, Dumke CL. Short term heat acclimation reduces heat stress, but is not augmented by dehydration. *J Therm Biol*. 2018;78:227-234. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.10.004
45. Lucas RAI, Epstein Y, Kjellstrom T. Excessive occupational heat exposure: A significant ergonomic challenge and health risk for current and future workers. *Extrem Physiol Med*. 2014;3(1). doi:10.1186/2046-7648-3-14
46. West MR, Costello S, Sol JA, Domitrovich JW. Risk for heat-related illness among wildland firefighters: Job tasks and core body temperature change. *Occup Environ Med*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1136/oemed-2019-106186

47. Watkins ER, Walker A, Mol E, Jahnke S, Richardson AJ. Women firefighters' health and well-being: An international survey. *Women's Health Issues*. 2019;29(5):424-431. doi:10.1016/j.whi.2019.02.003
48. Kim S, Kim DH, Lee HH, Lee JY. Frequency of firefighters' heat-related illness and its association with removing personal protective equipment and working hours. *Ind Health*. 2019;57(3):370-380. doi:10.2486/indhealth.2018-0063
49. Haynes H, Molis J. US Firefighter Injuries in 2014. Vol Nov-Dec.; 2015.
50. Arnaoutis G, Verginadis P, Seal AD, Vogiatzis I, Sidossis LS, Kavouras SA. Progressive Dehydration in Junior Laser Class Sailors During World Championship. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*. 2018;28(1):75-81. doi:10.1123/IJSNEM.2017-0142
51. Segar WE, Moore WW. The regulation of antidiuretic hormone release in man. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 1968;47(9):2143-2151. doi:10.1172/JCI105900
52. Utter AC, McNulty SR, Riha BF, Pratt B a, Grose JM. The validity of multifrequency bioelectrical impedance measures to detect changes in the hydration status of wrestlers during acute dehydration and rehydration. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2012;26(1):9-15. doi:10.1519/JSC.0b013e318238ea51
53. Cleary MA, Hetzler RK, Wasson D, Wages JJ, Stickley Christopher. Hydration behaviors before and after an education and prescribed hydration intervention in adolescent athletes. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2014;14(1):23-28. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-47.3.05
54. Goulet EDB. Effect of exercise-induced dehydration on endurance performance: evaluating the impact of exercise protocols on outcomes using a meta-analytic procedure. *Br J Sports Med*. 2013;47(11):679-686. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2012-090958
55. Bardis CN, Kavouras SA, Arnaoutis G, Panagiotakos DB, Sidossis LS. Mild dehydration and cycling performance during 5-kilometer hill climbing. *J Athl Train*. 2013;48(6):741-747. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-48.5.01
56. Curry FRE. Atrial natriuretic peptide: An essential physiological regulator of transvascular fluid, protein transport, and plasma volume. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*. 2005;115(6):1458-1461. doi:10.1172/JCI25417
57. Fernandez-Elias VE, Martinez-Abellan A, Lopez-Gullon JM, et al. Validity of hydration non-invasive indices during the weightcutting and official weigh-in for olympic combat sports. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(4). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095336
58. Thigpen LK, Green JM, O'Neal EK. Hydration profile and sweat loss perception of male and female division II basketball players during practice. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2014;28(12):3425-3431. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000000549
59. Thomas DT, Erdman KA, Burke LM. Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Dietitians of Canada, and the American College of Sports Medicine: Nutrition and athletic performance. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2016;116(3):501-528. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2015.12.006
60. George JM, Dane E. Affect, emotion, and decision making. *Organ Behav Hum Decis Process*. 2016;136:47-55. doi:10.1016/j.obhdp.2016.06.004
61. Lieberman HR, Bathalon GP, Falco CM, Kramer FM, Morgan CA, Niro P. Severe decrements in cognition function and mood induced by sleep loss, heat, dehydration, and undernutrition during simulated combat. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;57(4):422-429. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2004.11.014

62. Okoli JO, Weller G, Watt J. Information processing and intuitive decision-making on the fireground: towards a model of expert intuition. *Cognition, Technology & Work*. 2016;18(1):89-103. doi:10.1007/s10111-015-0348-9
63. Evans TR, Steptoe-Warren G. *Applying Occupational Psychology to the Fire Service*. Palgrave MacMillan; 2019.
64. Dmitrieva NI, Gagarin A, Liu D, Wu CO, Boehm M. Middle-age high normal serum sodium as a risk factor for accelerated biological aging, chronic diseases, and premature mortality. *EBioMedicine*. 2023;87:104404. doi:10.1016/J.EBIOM.2022.104404
65. Goodrich JM, Calkins MM, Caban-Martinez AJ, et al. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances, epigenetic age and DNA methylation: a cross-sectional study of firefighters. *Epigenomics*. 2021;13(20):1619-1636. doi:10.2217/EPI-2021-0225
66. Sawka MN, Montain SJ. Fluid and electrolyte supplementation for exercise heat stress. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2000;72(2 SUPPL.):564-572.
67. Sobana RM, Many JN. Effect of hydration education on college athlete's hydration status and KAP. *International Journal of Science and Research*. 2014;3(7):1654-1658. Accessed March 3, 2023. https://www.ijsr.net/get_abstract.php?paper_id=201412981
68. Kavouras SA, Arnaoutis G, Makrillos M, et al. Educational intervention on water intake improves hydration status and enhances exercise performance in athletic youth. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2012;22(5):684-689. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0838.2011.01296.x
69. McDermott BP, Casa DJ, Yeargin SW, Ganio MS, Lopez RM, Mooradian EA. Hydration status, sweat rates, and rehydration education of youth football campers. *J Sport Rehabil*. 2009;18(4):535-552. doi:10.1123/JSR.18.4.535
70. National Wildfire Coordinating Group. NWCG Incident Response Pocket Guide (IRPG).; 2022. Accessed February 7, 2022. <https://www.nwcg.gov/publications/461>
71. Pollack KM, Poplin GS, Griffin S, et al. Implementing risk management to reduce injuries in the U.S. Fire Service. *J Safety Res*. 2017;60:21-27. doi:10.1016/j.jsr.2016.11.003
72. Wardenaar F, Armistead S, Boeckman K, et al. The validity urine color scoring at different light conditions to assess low versus high urine concentration. *J Athl Train*. 2021;57(2):191-198. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-0389.21
73. Wardenaar FC, Seltzer RGN, Kavouras SA. Accuracy and reliability of college athletes' scoring of artificial urine color samples to determine hydration status. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*. Published online July 21, 2022. doi:10.1177/17479541221114748
74. Program Leader Safety Technology and Development Center. FSH 6709.11 Health and Safety Code Handbook. Vol 6709.11-99-1. (Dombeck M, ed.); 1999.
75. Brinkworth A. NSW Rural Fire Service Firefighters' Pocket Book. Third edition. NSW rural fire service; 2010. http://yassriverbfb.org/resources/NSW_RFS_Pocketbook.pdf
76. Sharkey B, Ruby B, Cox C. Technology & Development Program: Feeding the wildland firefighter. *Fire Tech Tips*, United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service. Published online 2002:1-6.
77. United States Department of Agriculture. Eating for health and performance: The wildland firefighter. Accessed February 1, 2022. <https://www.fs.fed.us/t-d/pubs/htmlpubs/htm06512833/page01.htm>

78. Sphweb.com. The transtheoretical model (stages of change). Boston University school of Public Health. Published 2019. Accessed February 2, 2022. <https://sphweb.bumc.bu.edu/otlt/mph-modules/sb/behavioralchangetheories/behavioralchangetheories6.html>
79. Ajzen I. The theory of planned behavior. *Organ Behav Hum Decis Process*. 1991;50(2):179-211. doi:10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T
80. Godin G, Shephard RJ. Psychosocial factors influencing intentions to exercise of young students from grades 7 to 9. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 1986;57(1):41-52. doi:10.1080/02701367.1986.10605387
81. Druye A, Robinson B, Nelson K. Self-management recommendations for sickle cell disease: A Ghanaian health professionals' perspective. *Health Sci Rep*. 2018;1(11). doi:10.1002/HSR2.88
82. Osborne RH, Wilson T, Lorig KR, McColl GJ. Does self-management lead to sustainable health benefits in people with arthritis? A 2-year transition study of 452 Australians. *Journal of Rheumatology*. 2007;34(5):1112-1117.
83. Adams JD, Arnaoutis G, Johnson EC, et al. Combining urine color and void number to assess hydration in adults and children. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2021;75:1262-1266. doi:10.1038/s41430-020-00834-w
84. Sekiguchi Y, Benjamin CL, Butler CR, et al. Relationships Between WUT (Body Weight, Urine Color, and Thirst Level) Criteria and Urine Indices of Hydration Status: Sports Health: A Multidisciplinary Approach. Published online August 31, 2021;194173812110384. doi:10.1177/19417381211038494
85. Wardenaar FC, Thompsett D, Vento KA, Bacalzo D. A lavatory urine color (LUC) chart method can identify hypohydration in a physically active population. *Eur J Nutr*. 2021;60:2795-2805. doi:10.1007/s00394-020-02460-5
86. Kavouras SA, Johnson EC, Bougatsas D, et al. Validation of a urine color scale for assessment of urine osmolality in healthy children. *Eur J Nutr*. 2016;55:907-915. doi:10.1007/s00394-015-0905-2
87. Patton SR. Adherence to glycemic monitoring in diabetes. *J Diabetes Sci Technol*. 2015;9(3):668-675. doi:10.1177/1932296814567709
88. Armstrong LE, Kavouras SA, Walsh NP, Roberts WO. Diagnosing dehydration? Blend evidence with clinical observations. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*. 2016;19(6):434-438. doi:10.1097/MCO.0000000000000320
89. Owen JA, Fortes MB, Ur Rahman S, Jibani M, Walsh NP, Oliver SJ. Hydration Marker Diagnostic Accuracy to Identify Mild Intracellular and Extracellular Dehydration. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab*. 2019;29(6):604-611. doi:10.1123/IJSNEM.2019-0022
90. Cheuvront SN, Sawka MN. SSE# 97: Hydration assessment of athletes. *Sports Sci Exch*. 2005;18(2):1-12.
91. Sawka M, Young A. Physiological systems and their responses to conditions of heat and cold. In: Farrell PA, ed. *ACSM's Advanced Exercise Physiology*. ; 2006:535-563.
92. Wardenaar FC, Whitenack LE, Vento KA. Accuracy Of Combining Bodyweight, Urine Color, And Thirst To Assess Low Vs. High Urine Concentration: 1718. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2022;54(9S):417. Accessed March 5, 2023.

93. Wardenaar FC, Thompson D, Vento KA, Pesek K, Bacalzo D. Athletes' self-assessment of urine color using two color charts to determine urine concentration. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(8):4126. doi:10.3390/ijerph18084126